FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT NAGPUR
FIRST APPEAL NO: 127/2000
(Girhatekreut Mahatma Basveshwar Bauuddeshiya Sanstha, vs. Sudhakar Anwane and another)

Office Notes, Office Memorandum of Coram appearances, Court's orders of directions and Registrar's orders.	Court's or Judges Order

.....

Mr. D.G.Paunikar, Adv.for appellant

CORAM: SMT. Vasanti A. Naik, J.
DATED: 30th AUGUST, 2007.

***

PURSIS STAMP NO: ***8300/2006.***

Though the office note shows that Shri A.M. Gorde has filed paperbook on behalf of appellant, it is pointed out by Shri Gorde to this Court that the paperbook was not filed in the present First Appeal but was filed in First Appeal No. 127/2002. However while filing the paperbook in the aforesaid First Appeal, the number was wrongly noted as F.A. No. 127/2000 and, therefore, this office note dated 10.8.2007 came into being. It is, thus, clear that Shri Gorde, Adv. has not put in his appearance on behalf of appellant in this First Appeal.

2. By this Pursis it is brought to the notice of this Court that the President of the present appellant-society was contacted on telephone by the counsel for the appellant. However, the appellant has not given any instructions to learned counsel for the appellant. The counsel for the appellant then issued a registered notice acknowledgment due dated 24.7.2006; the copy of which is annexed to this pursis. Counsel for the appellant has filed this pursis stating that he has no instructions in the matter. In view of the aforesaid statement in the pursis, the appearance of the counsel for the appellant is discharged from First Appeal No.127/2000. First Appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

JUDGE

sahare